2

1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Buchwald.

Who is on the phone for the government?

MS. POMERANTZ: Good morning, your Honor, Lara Pomerantz and Daniel Richenthal for the government.

THE COURT: Who is on the phone for the defendant?

MS. CARDI: Good morning, your Honor, Dawn Cardi and Chad Edgar for Mr. Elkorany, who is also present on the phone.

THE COURT: Mr. Elkorany, I just want to confirm that back in September you signed a consent to proceed by teleconference going forward. Is it still your agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Could I get a status update on this case, please.

MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor.

The government has produced or made available all Rule 16 discovery currently in our possession. We expect that we will have another limited production in the near future, but we have produced the underlying search warrant which was served on Apple.

We requested a hard drive from defense counsel in mid

January to produce additional electronic images of certain

devices. Those are copies of certain of the electronic devices

that were seized from his residence. We have not yet received

such a hard drive from defense counsel, but we anticipate

receiving that some time this week from them, we understand, but we also have already produced copies of several of his other electronic devices comprising the bulk of what was received.

2.3

Since we last appeared before the Court, a review of the defendant's devices and other electronic materials for privileged materials or attorney work product is underway. And once the potentially privileged materials are segregated, we will identify the responsive materials and produce that set to the defendant. But, as noted, he already has full images, to the extent he has requested them, and provided a hard drive. That is, your Honor, to say, other than a small set for which he has not provided a hard drive since we requested one last month.

With that, your Honor, the government would propose setting a motion schedule today.

THE COURT: Ms. Cardi, do you want to add anything?

MS. CARDI: We are in agreement with the government,

and we are prepared to set a motion schedule today. We would

like 90 days to file motions in this matter.

THE COURT: Do you have a specific date in mind?

MS. CARDI: Well, let me just say, I haven't looked,

but I will right now -- I would say May 10, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Pomerantz, how long would the government like --

1 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, as an initial matter, we 2 would request an earlier date in terms of starting the motion 3 practice. The defense counsel has already received the 4 materials needed to make motions, namely, search warrant affidavits and other materials and has had this for some time. 5 6 In light of there being multiple victims of the offenses and 7 the nature of the offenses, we would like to move this case 8 forward. We would propose a schedule that's more expedited.

MS. CARDI: Your Honor, if you want me to respond.

THE COURT: Sure.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. CARDI: Or not.

THE COURT: You can say what you wish.

MS. CARDI: Your Honor, these are some very, we believe, very unique and perhaps first-impression motions, some of them. There is an enormous amount of discovery that we have had to review. And we really do need that period of time in order to prepare the motions that we think are appropriate and relevant in this case.

In terms of my schedule, we are doing trials in the state court by video. I have a civil practice. I have two trials scheduled.

Under all of the circumstances, I think that that is the best I can do in terms of when these motions would be due.

THE COURT: I want you to do your best, so May 10 is the date.

1 MS. CARDI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Pomerantz, when do you propose to

3 submit the government's response?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I think given the schedule and what Ms. Cardi just said, we would ask for 30 days.

THE COURT: June 10. OK?

MS. POMERANTZ: OK, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Cardi.

MS. CARDI: I consent to speedy trial time.

THE COURT: Reply papers.

MS. CARDI: I'm sorry. Reply papers. Three weeks, your Honor, and that would be the 1st of July.

THE COURT: I assume that there is no objection to waiving the speedy trial time until July 1, correct?

MS. CARDI: That's correct.

THE COURT: I find that a continuance until July 1 serves the ends of justice and outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and it will permit time for defense counsel to make motions that it is intending to and for the government to respond.

Is there anything else at this time?

MS. CARDI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Pomerantz.

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, just to make sure I am clear, I know you mentioned excluding speedy trial time through

July 1. Is July 1 the date --

THE COURT: It is the date the reply papers are due. As you know, there is then an automatic 30-day extension that applies. After we get the papers, we will either -- I don't know what the motions are. I don't have any concept of what's coming. We will see if it is necessary to schedule a hearing, I don't know, or whether oral argument is appropriate. Maybe neither of them. And we will give you a written decision.

7/1 is simply the end of the briefing, which will then start an automatic extension of the speedy trial clock.

MS. POMERANTZ: Understood, your Honor. Thanks very much. That was very helpful.

THE COURT: Anything else, anybody?

MS. POMERANTZ: Nothing from the government.

THE COURT: Thank you, everyone. Please stay well.

(Adjourned)